

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/602,063		06/24/2003	Hiromitsu Fujimoto	8053-1014	1171
466	7590	09/30/2004	EXAMINER		INER
YOUNG &	THOM	PSON	SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
745 SOUTH 2ND FLOO		TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO		22202		2837	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/602,00	10/602,063 FUJIMOTO ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	mal				
			San Martin	2837	L N'				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicati Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	idress				
THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply will be the set of extended period for reply	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. ys, a reply within the staty period will apply and worstatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MONT dication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 24 June 2003.							
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
5)⊠ (6)⊠ (7)⊠ (8)□ (Applicatio	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are wellaim(s) 11-14 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,15-19 and 24-27 is/are rejectaim(s) 7-10 and 20-23 is/are objected claim(s) are subject to restriction in Papers The specification is objected to by the Expectation is objected to be a claim of the interval in the interval interval in the interval interval in the interval interval in the interval i	ithdrawn from co cted. to. and/or election r							
Α - F	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/a pplicant may not request that any objection replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ne oath or declaration is objected to by	to the drawing(s) to correction is require	oe held in abeyand ed if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	. ,				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ A a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for following the control of the priority doctor of the control of the con	uments have bee uments have bee e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Ap ents have been r e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO) No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/03.		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,063 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "42" has been used to designate both "winding" and "amplifier" in figures 1, 3 and 15. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - On page 4, line 8 the occurrence of "b3" should read - 13 -;
 - On page 4, line 17 "14" should read - 13 -;
 - On page 7, line 16 "33" should read - 31 -;
 - On page 7, line 18 "75" should read - 74 -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 24 – 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "detecting a sixth inductance" in line 22, this recitation is vague. There is no recitation of detecting first through fifth inductance.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "in accordance with said first, second, third, fourth, fifth" in lines 26 and "stable stop point of one of said first, second, third, fourth, fifth" in line 28. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 6 and 15 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneda et al. (US 5,554,916).

With respect to claims 1 and 6, Kaneda et al. teach a method for detecting an angle of a stationary rotor of a sensorless brushless DC motor comprising a stator

Art Unit: 2837

including a plurality of windings, the rotor including permanent magnet poles, comprising steps of detecting inductances of the windings while the rotor is stationary, and detecting the angle of the stationary rotor in accordance with the detected inductances (Figs1 – 4; Col.3, Line 39 – Col.5, Line 39).

With respect to claim 2, Kaneda et al. teach wherein the stationary rotor angle detecting step detects the angle of the stationary rotor in accordance with a respective one of the windings having a minimum value of the detected inductances (Col.4, Lines 33 – 41).

With respect to claim 3, Kaneda et al. teach wherein the inductance detecting step comprises the steps of supplying currents to the windings and detecting transient phenomena of the currents, thus detecting the inductances in accordance with the transient phenomena of the currents (Col.4, Lines 7 - 41).

With respect to claims 4 and 5, Kaneda et al. teach the claimed subject matter described in the claims (Col.4, Lines 7 – 41).

With respect to claims 15 – 19, Kaneda et al. teach the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, and further teach supplying first and second start driving currents (Figs.2 and 3; Col.4, Line 5 – Col.5, Line 39).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7 – 10 and 20 – 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,063 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

6. Claims 24 – 27 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

7. Claim 11 – 14 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The references of the Prior Art of record fail to teach, or suggest any obvious combination of the limitations discussed above, and further comprising the limitations of a first comparator, connected to the resistor, for comparing each of the detection voltages with a first reference voltage to generate a first timing signal when each of the detection voltages becomes higher than the first reference voltage, and a second comparator connected to the resistor, for comparing each of the detection voltages with a second reference voltage higher than the first reference voltage to generate a second timing signal when each of the detection voltages becomes higher than the second reference voltage, the inductances being defined by time periods each beginning at a time when the first comparator generates the first timing signal and ending at a time when the second comparator generates the second timing signal.

Conclusion

8. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,063 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

Class 318

September 27, 2004